

To: Laidlaw, Tina[Laidlaw.Tina@epa.gov]
Cc: Suplee, Mike[msuplee@mt.gov]
From: Blend, Jeff
Sent: Fri 3/18/2016 5:05:06 PM
Subject: RE: Secondary Scores and sensitivity analysis

Tina:

I am reading the economic analyses. It looks like some of them (Vaughn) are saying that Vaughn cannot even meet the general variance. Other ones like Havre are saying the opposite—that a general variance is not needed because criteria could be met without harmful economic impact. Interesting. I will keep reading. So far, it looks like the analyses are on the right track.

Jeff

From: Laidlaw, Tina [mailto:Laidlaw.Tina@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2016 5:15 PM
To: Kenning, Jon; Urban, Eric
Cc: Kusnierz, Lisa; Perkins, Erin; Spence, Sandra; Blend, Jeff; DeVaney, Rainie; Suplee, Mike; rdaveney@mt.gov
Subject: Secondary Scores and sensitivity analysis

Last email with the Secondary Scores and Sensitivity files attached.

Tina